

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a)
NV Energy for authority to adjust its annual)
revenue requirement for general rates charged) DOCKET NO.: 25-02016
to all classes of electric customers and for relief)
properly related thereto.)

COMMENTS TO NEVADA ENERGY’S PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

NV Energy has this ongoing propensity to skew and provide highly filtered responses in their Petition.

Their refusal to accept the fact that their own testimony during the Buffet Buy-Out/Smart Meter fiasco when Doug Cannon and Paul Caudell were around, they falsely represented that the Smart Meters would never be used for monitoring ratepayers hourly usage.

On December 6, 2011, at the first Consumer Session Gary Smith read comments regarding ‘Customer Privacy’. These comments were followed along by Shawn Elisegui.

‘There’s a myth on the internet that we wanted to dispel and again every network is different implementations across the country may vary, but, these are the facts about privacy of the NV Energy system.

We only collect whole house usage, that is metered by NV Energy, so its only the whole house its not the individual equipment within the home.

NV Energy has taken and will continue to take reasonable and appropriate steps to protect this customer sensitive data.

Smart meters do not track or record individual appliances usages, smart meters records whole house usage, just like our **full digital meters**, it is collected more often.’

First of all, their ‘reference to **‘full digital meters’** is ambiguous, as during this time, there were primarily the NSMO and smart meters that were installed on residential properties. Where the NVE employee, Gary Smith, who was overseeing what NVE termed ‘DEPLOYMENT’, apparently didn’t know, that NVE NEVER installed full DIGITAL meters, he should have and ALSO, NVE’s attorney of record Shawn, should have known this entire docket involved only the s’meters and NSMO.

If there WERE FULL DIGITAL meters, the ratepayers were never made aware of this. Obviously they didn't know what they were testifying to.

So that's one issue.

Secondary you will notice that he stated REPEATEDLY, whole house, not individual appliances. Therefore, the bloviating by NVE about the hourly charge is in direct conflict with their statement from above.

We have been told that the demand charge has to do with increase energy consumption, specifically the air conditioner when a ratepayer comes home. So, if you don't track individual appliances, how can you 'identify' that the AC or washer/dryer etc., are causing the increase? That refutes that statement, so when did you lie or provide false information to the Commission?

Since you used the 'full digital', did this elusive meter have the same ability of a smart meter to record in 15 minute increments? If not, then you admit that its whole house, no way to determine increased load, thru this demand charge.

We know that Halle was an AA during the Opt out and was directly involved with Noble's filings etc., so she KNEW about the 'we aren't going to bill per hour'.

Tammy was here and also knew. This ongoing brain dead refusal to acknowledge that the ratepayers are right is getting out of hand. Brown wasn't here during the numerous dockets on the opt out.

The consistent and overt/intentional refusal to do the most remedial due diligence to facts made in comments, that impact dockets is tantamount to piss poor work product, especially from those who went this the issue/s.

What? NVE is going to try and state that Gary Smith was wrong in his comment/s? How are they going to explain away when he was reading from a prepared script while having Shawn following along? Shawn didn't attempt to correct Gary at any point in time.

Just like they tried to pull in this Docket about the comments Cannon made to the Legislature regarding the ratepayers paying for GreenLink prior to it being activated?

The video clip is irrefutable proof that the testimony of Samantha Prest is deficient and lacks proof of her erroneous assertions that the demand charge should be enacted. Maybe she should have done the most elementary due diligence and absorbed what transpired regarding the opt out while she was still in high school?

Debbie's transcript is just words, we now have the actual video, no skirting the issue who said what and who freely permitted it to be presented.

We know that NVE has proven time and time again that their propensity for prevaricating is to be expected.

DATED and DONE this 21st, day of October, 2025.

/s/ ANGEL DEFAZIO, BSAT, BCNHP

Angel De Fazio, BSAT, BCNHP

POB 29194

Las Vegas, NV 89126

NTEFUSA@Aol.Com